

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 195070

12

ORIGIN EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 CCO-00 CIAE-00 OTPE-00

FCC-01 INR-07 NSAE-00 OC-05 USIA-06 COME-00 BIB-01

OIC-02 PM-04 NSC-05 SP-02 SS-15 DODE-00 L-03 H-02

PA-01 PRS-01 /085 R

DRAFTED BY EB/TD:JJO'NEILL:SP

APPROVED BY EB/TD:JJO'NEILL, JR.

EUR/CE - MR. KORNBLUM

USIA:IBS/EF - MR. JACOBS

----- 088848

R 160113Z AUG 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY BONN

USMISSION GENEVA

INFO USMISSION USBERLIN

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMCONSUL MUNICH

AMEMBASSY PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 195070

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS:ETEL, ITU, GW, WB, US, UK, FR, SZ, UR

SUBJECT:OCTOBER ITU REGIONAL CONFERENCE: BERLIN ASPECTS.

REF: (A) BONN 12879; (B) BONN 13079 NOTAL

1. IN RESPONSE REQUEST PARA 5 REFTL (B) DEPARTMENT  
HAS FOLLOWING COMMENTS HOW SECOND SESSION LF/MF  
CONFERENCE WILL PROBABLY PROCEED.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 195070

2. BASED ON THE RESULTS OF THE FIRST SESSION OF LF/MF CONFERENCE, VOTING IS LIKELY TO DEAL WITH IMPORTANT TECHNICAL ISSUES AND NOT REPEAT NOT WITH MATTERS CONCERNING INDIVIDUAL FREQUENCIES. AT FIRST SESSION THE MAIN VOTE TOOK PLACE OVER CHANNEL SPACING, WHETHER IT SHOULD BE 8, 9 OR 10 KHZ. THE CONFERENCE VOTED FOR 9 KHZ SEPARATION. IT IS CONCEIVABLE THAT MATTERS SUCH AS CHANNEL SEPARATION, MINIMUM SIGNAL FOR DEFINING SATISFACTORY RECEPTION, OR OTHER PRIMARY TECHNICAL PARAMETERS WHERE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES OF OPINION MIGHT ARISE COULD BE PUT TO A VOTE.

3. THE FIRST SESSION GAVE THE ITU INTERNATIONAL FREQUENCY REGISTRATION BOARD (IFRB) RESPONSIBILITY FOR DRAWING UP A FREQUENCY REQUIREMENT LIST FOR ALL

PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES. LIST IS BASED ON FREQUENCY REQUIREMENTS SUBMITTED BY ALL COUNTRIES, AND THE TECHNICAL STANDARDS DECIDED UPON AT THE FIRST SESSION. BOARD'S DRAFT WAS COMPLETED DURING EARLY JULY AND CONTAINS CHANNEL-BY-CHANNEL ASSIGNMENT REQUIREMENTS. EVERY CHANNEL IN THE LIST HAS CASES OF INTERFERENCE: BETWEEN AT LEAST TWO AND AS MANY AS A HALF DOZEN OR MORE COUNTRIES. BECAUSE THEY AFFECT A SMALL NUMBER OF PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES ON EACH CHANNEL, INTERFERENCE CASES WILL, IN ALL PROBABILITY, BE HANDLED BY DISCUSSION, NEGOTIATION, ARGUING OR HORSE-TRADING BETWEEN THE COUNTRIES CONCERNED WITH THAT CHANNEL. NATURE OF THESE ASSIGNMENTS IS SUCH THAT THERE SEEMS TO BE NO LIKELY PEG UPON WHICH A VOTE COULD BE TAKEN AT CONFERENCE.

4. ONE CAN NOT RULE OUT THE POSSIBILITY THAT CONFERENCE MAY DECIDE TO VOTE UPON CERTAIN POLITICAL ISSUES. FOR EXAMPLE, INCLUSION IN ISRAELI REQUIREMENTS FOR FREQUENCIES LOCATED IN SINAI, JORDAN'S REQUIREMENT FOR A FREQUENCY IN JERUSALEM AND THE SUBJECT OF BERLIN REPRESENTATION AMONGST OTHERS. THERE WAS NO VOTE ON POLITICAL ISSUES AT FIRST SESSION. EASTERN BLOC QUESTIONING OF SECURITY AND STATUS AT FIRST SESSION LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 195070

(SEE PARA 3 REFTEL (A)) WAS BY CIRCULATION OF DOCUMENT WHICH WAS NOTED BY CONFERENCE. OBVIOUSLY THERE IS NO ASSURANCE THAT SUBJECT WILL BE SO EASILY HANDLED THIS OCTOBER.

5. LF/MF CONFERENCE, AS IS CASE WITH OTHER RADIO CONFERENCES IN THE PAST, IS CONVENED UNDER ARTICLE 7 OF THE INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION CONVENTION OF

THE ITU. THE FINAL ACTS OF THIS CONFERENCE WILL  
BIND THOSE ADMINISTRATIONS THAT SIGN THESE FINAL ACTS.  
CONSIDERING HIGH LEVEL OF INTERFERENCE ON EACH CHANNEL IN  
IFRB DRAFT FREQUENCY REQUIREMENT LIST AND DIFFICULT  
TECHNICAL PROBLEMS INVOLVED IN ATTEMPTING TO ALLEVIATE  
INTERFERENCE, IT IS LIKELY THAT MANY COUNTRIES WILL  
SIGN FINAL ACTS WITH RESERVATIONS OR NOT SIGN THEM AT ALL.

6. FOR GENEVA: REFTELS BEING REPEATED FOR POST'S  
INFORMATION. MISSION INVITED OFFER ADDITIONAL COMMENT  
TO EMBASSY BONN ON HOW SECOND SESSION MAY DEVELOP. SISCO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** RADIO BROADCASTING, RADIO FREQUENCIES, PLANNING MEETINGS, LF/MF BROADCASTING CONFERENCE  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 16 AUG 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** RowellE0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE195070  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** JJO'NEILL:SP  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750284-0026  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750845/aaaabnew.tel  
**Line Count:** 126  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN EB  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 BONN 12879, 75 BONN 13079  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** RowellE0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 22 MAY 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <22 MAY 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <06 OCT 2003 by RowellE0>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CTOBER ITU REGIONAL CONFERENCE: BERLIN ASPECTS.  
**TAGS:** ETEL, GE, US, WB, UK, FR, SZ, UR, ITU  
**To:** BONN GENEVA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006